

# Cloud Computing Microservices

CIS437 Erik Fredericks // frederer@gvsu.edu

Adapted from Google Cloud Computing Foundations, Overview of Cloud Computing (Wufka & Canonico)



https://www.openlegacy.com/blog/monolithic-application https://cloud.google.com/architecture/microservices-architecture-refactoring-monoliths

### MONOLITHIC ARCHITECTURE

### MICROSERVICE ARCHITECTURE



### Example: a monolith design





https://aws.amazon.com/microservices/

### What is a *monolithic* application?

Typically a single entity that controls everything

- "one big app"
  - single codebase, single program, etc.

Obviously could mean many different things - in the cloud space we see it as a networked application with all moving parts in one project

- Front end (user interface)
- Back end (server)
- Database (...database)

### Problems with this?

Quite nice to have everything in one place, but:

- Updates become problematic
  - Everything needs to be re-validated/checked
  - Apps tend to grow over time
- Scalability is a problem
  - How do we offload a single app to another server easily?
- Doesn't easily port to the cloud!
  - Lots of updates necessary to get it up and working with cloud services



### Enter microservices

Single app from multiple smaller apps - Typically connected via networks

Loosely coupled

- (Not a lot of overlap)

Independently deployable // good for a CI/CD pipeline

In theory, a good way to build a scalable architecture



Applications

### Monolith to microservices



### An example!

Start with a large legacy monolithic application

- For example, an online store

Everything is one big happy React app

How do we go about turning it into a collection of microservices?



### Arguments for microservices

Separation of concerns within a large application

Allows for independent teams to work simultaneously

- CI/CD pipelines can be a real help here!

Scaling happens much easier here

- Each microservice can deploy with any number of instances necessary
  - And can scale up further as well!
- Constraints are limited to the microservice itself, not the app as a whole

Updates are partitioned to their own microservices

- Don't *necessarily* impact application as a whole

### Arguments against microservices

Each service must be well-defined

- APIs, network calls, etc.
- What happens if its IP address changes?

Security a concern

- Instead of 1 attack vector, now there are *n* attack vectors

\$\$\$

- Each microservice induces a charge
- What happens if one gets called way more than it should be?
- Or, stores too much data?

### Regardless...

Decoupling services can be a good idea

- Pretty commonplace suggestion in software engineering
  - Why not in cloud?



- 2. More coordination
- 3. More information flow



- Loose coupling: 1. Less Interdependency
- 2. Less coordination
- 3. Less information flow

# Decoupling

How can we decouple programs (in general)?

- Single-purpose classes (laser focused)
- Reduce interdependencies
- Reduce redundancies
  - Why?



# Decoupling ( version)

### **Review existing documentation**

Determine what can be broken apart

- Then determine how it can be stitched back together

Create well-defined specifications

- i.e., your API
- How does data flow from app to app?
- How can things be *compartmentalized*
- What are the implications of doing this as well?
  - Money a concern here?

# Domain-driven design (DDD)

https://learn.microsoft.com/en-us/archive/msdn-magazine/2009/february/best-pract ice-an-introduction-to-domain-driven-design

https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/domain-driven-design-ddd/

"understanding and modeling the problem domain within which a software system operates"

- (geeksforgeeks link)

### DDD

What is important to the domain? - objects/entities

Identify the objects for the domain

- and their relationships
- and their boundaries





Shared Kernel of Online Banking System

### Is there a good middle ground?



### Oops...was it all a fad?

#### https://devops.com/microservices-amazon-monolithic-richixbw/

Best of 2023: Microservices Sucks — Amazon Goes Back to Basics

### Best of 2023: Microservices Sucks — Amazon Goes Back to Basics

#### BY: RICHI JENNINGS ON DECEMBER 28, 2023 — 3 COMMENTS

As we close out 2023, we at DevOps.com wanted to highlight the most popular articles of the year. Following is the latest in our series of the Best of 2023.

Welcome to *The Long View*—where we peruse the news of the week and strip it to the essentials. Let's work out **what** really matters.

This week: Amazon Prime Video has ditched its use of microservices-cum-serverless, reverting to a traditional, monolithic architecture. It vastly improved the workload's **cost and scalability**.

#### I'm Shocked. Shocked.

Analysis: But it depends what you mean by "monolithic"

# **Not just a scaling advantage?** Rafal Gancarz also notes huge cost savings—"Prime Video Switched from Serverless to EC2 and ECS":

#### "Single application process"

...

Prime Video, Amazon's video streaming service ... achieved a 90% reduction in operational costs as a result. ... The initial architecture of the solution was based on microservices ... implemented on top of the serverless infrastructure stack. The microservices included splitting audio/video streams into video frames or decrypted audio buffers as well as detecting various stream defects ... using machine-learning algorithms.

The problem of high operational cost was caused by a high volume of read/writes to the S3 bucket storing intermediate work items ... and a large number of step function state transitions. ... In the end, the team decided to consolidate all of the business logic in a single application process. ... The resulting architecture had the entire ... process running [as] instances distributed across different ECS tasks to avoid hitting vertical scaling limits.

### Read the articles - they're fascinating

However, does this mean that microservices are inherently bad?

### No panacea architecture

Same story, different domain

- Don't over-architect your solution
- Analyze your needs rather than start with pre-conceived notions
  - "We need to use serverless"
- Monoliths have their advantages!
  - The result seems to be a mishmash of monolith with scalable services...



### Is there a good middle ground?

Some combination of microservices swarming around a monolith is probably a decent solution

- Break off the pieces that require frequent updates
- Low-impact to your bill

i.e., design your app for what makes sense for your organization (or your project)

- Don't build to a buzzword!

### Lab time!

Let's go from monolith to microlith (or, collection of microservices)

### **CREATE A NEW PROJECT FIRST!**

https://codelabs.developers.google.com/ codelabs/cloud-monolith-to-microservice s-gke/#0

#### Migrating a Monolithic Website to Microservices on Google Kubernetes Engine

#### About this codelab

E Last updated Oct 7, 2020

O Written by Mike Verbanic

#### 1. Introduction

Why migrate from a monolithic application to a microservices architecture? Breaking down an application into microservices has the following advantages; most of these stem from the fact that microservices are loosely coupled.

- The microservices can be independently tested and deployed. The smaller the unit of deployment, the easier the deployment.
- They can be implemented in different languages and frameworks. For each microservice, you're free to choose the
  best technology for its particular use case.
- They can be managed by different teams. The boundary between microservices makes it easier to dedicate a team to one or several microservices.
- By moving to microservices, you loosen the dependencies between the teams. Each team has to care only about
  the APIs of the microservices they are dependent on. The team doesn't need to think about how those
  microservices are implemented, about their release cycles, and so on.
- You can more easily design for failure. By having clear boundaries between services, it's easier to determine what
  to do if a service is down.

Some of the disadvantages when compared to monoliths are:

# What is the goal?

Take an existing monolithic application (defined within Kubernetes) and break it apart into a collection of services

Things to consider:

- There are going to be a lot of commands specific to Kubernetes/Cloud Build here that you don't necessarily need to remember
- Essentially we're going to be:
- 1) Building and deploying a monolith and checking that it works
- 2) Taking out part of the monolith and replacing it with another service
  - a) And reconfiguring the monolith to point to that service
  - b) Building and redeploying and checking that it works
  - c) And so on

### If you made a mistake and things are broken

Choices are to:

- 1) Get frustrated
- 2) Take a breath
- 3) See if you made a typo and re-deploy
- 4) Get frustrated and start over

```
If you get npm - command not found:
curl -sL https://deb.nodesource.com/setup_20.x | sudo -E bash -
sudo apt install -y nodejs
sudo apt install -y build-essential
curl -o- https://raw.githubusercontent.com/nvm-sh/nvm/v0.40.1/install.sh | bash
```

If you get an error deploying the monolith early on- try deleting and running the deploy command again *delete: kubectl delete service monolith* 



Qwiklabs made a variant: Migrating a Monolithic Website to Microservices on Google Kubernetes Engine

https://www.cloudskillsboost.google/focuses/11953?catalog\_rank=%7B%22rank%22%3A3%2C%22num\_filters%22%3A0%2C%22has\_search%22%3Atrue%7D&parent=catalog&search\_id=38267408

Updating a deployment (assuming you made frontend:2.0.0):

kubectl set image deployment/frontend
frontend=gcr.io/\${GOOGLE\_CLOUD\_PROJECT}/frontend:2.0.0